

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/038,861 | 12/31/2001 | Mark F. Nelson | KCC 4802 (16,790) 6378 | |
| 321 | 7590 12/04/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| SENNIGER POWERS | | | TRUONG, LAN DAI T | |
| ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ST LOUIS, MO 63102 | | | 2152 | |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/038,861 | NELSON ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit . | | | |
| | Lan-Dai Thi Truong | 2152 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>03</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 September 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | te | | | |

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/12/2006 has been entered.
- 2. This action is response to communications: application, filed on 12/31/2001; amendment filed 09/12/2006. Claims 1-20 are pending;
- 3. The applicant's arguments file on 09/12/2006 have fully considered but they are moot in view with new ground for rejections

Claim rejections-35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or descry bed as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 7-8, 10-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skinner (U.S. 2004/0107137) in view of Thomas (U.S. 6,401,118)

Art Unit: 2152

Regarding to claim 7:

Skinner discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including a system, which can be implemented in a computer hardware or software code for providing and managing information relating to a theme and for tracking access to the information by users, the IWR site comprising:

Page 3

An integrated web ring (IWR) site of a host and a plurality of partners A plurality of partner Web pages comprising content provided by the partners relating to the theme and provided by the partners: Skinner discloses "online marketing campaign" those are equivalent to "IWR." Therefrom, "a listing of advertiser web pages" those are equivalent to "a plurality of partner Web pages" are returned a results of searching on a term: (page 1, paragraph [0005], lines 1-21)

A host Web site provided by the host and accessible by users, said host Web site including a plurality of host Web pages relating to the theme: Skinner discloses the user enters "a search term" which is equivalent to "the theme" comprising one or more keywords. Then the online marketing campaign return a list of advertiser Web pages: (page 1, paragraph [0005], lines 1-21)

A link from the host Web site to a selected partner Web page wherein the link is controlled by the host: Skinner discloses the user can access his/her preferred advertiser's Webpage via clicking on the hyperlink: (page 1, paragraph [0005], lines 1-21)

A common navigational tool provided by the host Web site for searching and accessing only the host Web pages and the selected partner Web page: Skinner discloses "hyperlinks" and

Art Unit: 2152

"search engine," those are equivalent to "navigation tools" for searching and user's preferred Web page accessing: (page 1, paragraph [0005], lines 1-21)

Tracking software for tracking user access to the content: Skinner discloses "a tracking engine" which is shared functionality with "tracking software" for keep track the user's access to the advertiser banner so the fees can be collected from the advertiser base on user's clicks:

(figure 2, items 38, 40; [0006]; [0008]; [0040]; [0044])

The content is information other than simple links, short descriptions of the links, banner ads, promotion graphics or short sentences: Skinner discloses advertiser purchase space on the host pages to popular their commercial websites: ([0006]; [0008])

However, Skinner does not explicitly discloses non-commercial content

In analogous art, Thomas discloses on-line searching system which can support non-commercial sites: (column 15, lines 35-40)

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Thomas's ideas of build-up search engine for commercial and non-commercial sites with Skinner's system in order to extend available/ convenient providing services for on-line users, see (column 2, lines 1-12)

Regarding to claims 1-2, 8, 13-14, 16-20:

Those claims are rejected under rationale of claim 7

Regarding to claim 10:

Skinner- Thomas discloses a method as discuss in claim 7, which further includes a web page is displayed with a brief description of a content of the selected partner Web page and a visible indication of an identity of the partner providing the partner Web page: Skinner discloses

Art Unit: 2152

"the banner" which is equivalent to "a brief description" of the link: (page 1, paragraph [0006], lines 1-6)

Page 5

Wherein at least some of the partners are retailers providing product Web pages for purchasing products related to the theme, the pages for purchasing products being accessible by links available on the partner Web pages: Skinner discloses the commerce advertiser is the search engine's client: (page 1, paragraph [0006], lines 1-6)

Wherein the purchase of a product via the ring results in a fee paid to the host and/or a fee paid to any partner that guided the user to the product Web page used for purchasing the product: Skinner discloses the search engines referred to get pay from the advertiser as pay-per-click engines: (Skinner: page 1, paragraph [0005], lines 14-17)

Regarding to claims 11-12:

Skinner- Thomas discloses a method as discuss in claim 7, which further includes the IWR site of claim 7 wherein the link on the host Web site to the selected partner Web page is displayed with a partner ad, and wherein the displayed ad results in a fee paid to the host; wherein the link on the host Web site to the selected partner Web page is displayed with an article display, and wherein the displayed article results in a fee paid to the host: Skinner discloses the host referred to pay-per-click engines. The user can directly access to her/his selected advertiser's webpage: (Skinner: page 1, paragraph [0005], lines 14-17; paragraph [0006], lines 1-4, paragraph [0007], lines 1-6)

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being un-patentable over Skinner-Thomas in view of Knapp et al. (U.S. 6,769,010)

Regarding to claim 9:

Skinner-Thomas discloses the invention substantially as disclosed in claim 7, but does not explicitly teach wherein the theme is parenting and wherein the information relates to one or more of the following: family, mothering, fathering, child raising, child development, education, entertainment, family, finance, health, home and garden, shopping, community or other parent information or interests

However, Knapp discloses the webpage discloses information such as heath and so on, see (Knapp: figure 6A, item 154)

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Knapp's ideas of providing Web page contains information as health with Skinner-Thomas's system in order to provide an efficient advertising campaign webpage, see (Skinner: [0003])

Claims 5 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being un-patentable over Skinner (U.S. 2002/0101137) in view of Knapp et al. (U.S. 6,769,010) and further in view of Thomas (U.S. 6,401,118)

Regarding to claim 15:

Skinner discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including a method, which can be implemented in a computer hardware or software code for managing partners offering partner Web pages relating to a theme, comprising:

Providing a host Web site provided by the host and accessible by users, said host Web site including a plurality of host Web pages relating to the theme: Skinner discloses the user enters "a search term" which is equivalent to "the theme" comprising one or more keywords.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,861 Page 7

Art Unit: 2152

Then the search engines return a list of advertiser Web pages: (page 1, paragraph [0005], lines 1-21)

However, Skinner does not explicitly disclose establishing a contract between the host and the partners specifying the content of the partner Web pages; wherein the content if information other than simple links, short descriptions of links, banner ads, promotion graphics or short sentence

In analogous art, Knapp disclose method of checking if the contributor's Web site is qualify to be approved to join it link to the Applicant's web site. Also contributor agrees to pay a certain amount for trading day for the Applicant' web site: (column 9, lines 4-13, 35-40; column 12, lines 52-67; column 38, lines 53-67; column 39, lines 60-67)

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Knapp's ideas of signing business contract between host system and business partners with Skinner's system in order to provide an efficient advertising campaign, see (Skinner: [0003])

However, Skinner- Knapp does not explicitly discloses non-commercial sites

In analogous art, Thomas discloses on-line searching system which can support noncommercial sites: (column 15, lines 35-40)

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Thomas's ideas of build-up search engine for commercial and non-commercial sites with Skinner- Knapp's system in order to extend available/ provide convenient services for on-line users, see (column 2, lines 1-12)

Regarding to claim 5:

Art Unit: 2152

Skinner discloses a method of adding retail partners to an Integrated Web Ring (IWR) site that provides a host Web site dedicated to a theme, the IWR site comprising partner Web pages, wherein the host Web site is accessible by users, each partner providing at least one partner Web page related to the theme of the IWR site accessible by links from the host Web site, wherein at least some of the partners are retailers offering products whose sale via the IWR site brings commissions to the host: Skinner discloses the user enters "a search term" which is equivalent to "the theme" comprising one or more keywords. Then the search engines return a list of advertiser Web pages: (page 1, paragraph [0005], lines 1-21)

However, Skinner does not explicitly disclose receiving a request for membership in the IWR site from a candidate retail partner having at least one page on its partner Web site related to the theme of the IWR site: Knapp discloses a sale product company wishes to be partner with Applicant's web site to public its advertisements to the on-lines customers: (column 29, lines 60-67); obtaining agreement from the candidate retail partner to abide by a stated policy; reviewing the Web site of the candidate retail partner to determine if the content does not violate content criteria for IWR partners: Knapp discloses "the contributors" those are equivalent to "the candidate retail partner" are the organizations and individual who contribute content objects to "the Applicant's web site" which is equivalent to "IWR site" must be check if their Website is qualified to be approved to join it link to the Applicant's web site: (column 9, lines 4-13, 35-40; column 12, lines 52-67); joining the candidate retail partner to the IWR site if the candidate retail partner has met the requirements of obtaining and reviewing steps and by means of a contract identifying payment procedures and responsibilities of both the retail partner and the host: As disclose above, the Applicant's web site must be check if the contributor's Web site is qualified

to be approved to join it link to the Applicant's web site. Also contributor agree to pay a certain amount for trading day for the Applicant' web site: (column 9, lines 4-13, 35-40; column 12, lines 52-67; column 38, lines 53-67; column 39, lines 60-67)

Page 9

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Knapp's ideas of signing business contract between host system and business partners with Skinner's system in order to provide an efficient advertising campaign webpage, see (Skinner: [0003])

However, Skinner- Knapp does not explicitly discloses non-commercial sites

In analogous art, Thomas discloses on-line searching system which can support non-commercial sites: (column 15, lines 35-40)

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Thomas's ideas of build-up search engine for commercial and non-commercial sites with Skinner- Knapp's system in order to extend available/ provide convenient services for on-line users, see (column 2, lines 1-12)

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being un-patentable over Skinner-Thomas in view of Han (U.S. 2001/00448000)

Regarding to claim 4:

Skinner- Thomas discloses the invention substantially as disclosed in claim 2, but does not explicitly teach the step of allowing the host to index the partner Web page by assigning a key word associated with the partner Web page

However, Han discloses search terms entered by users can be indexed against a knowledge database and leads to URL links as the search results, see (Han: abstract, lines 6-9, 16-20)

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Han's ideas of indexing the search term against a knowledge database with Skinner- Thomas's system in order to provide increased efficiency data retrieval on the Internet, see (Han, page 1, paragraph [0010], lines 1-4)

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being un-patentable over Skinner-Thomas in view of Pervival (U.S. 2004/0039795)

Regarding to claim 3:

Skinner- Thomas discloses the invention substantially as disclosed in claim 2, but does not explicitly teach the step of allowing the host to modify a title of the partner Web page as it appears on the host Web site:

However, Percival discloses method of changing title of a page, see (Percival: page 9, right column, lines 43-50)

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Percival's ideas of directly changing title of webpage from companion page with Skinner- Thomas's system in order to be able to customize the web page, see (Percival: abstract, line 1-4)

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being un-patentable over Skinner – Knapp-Thomas in view of Barzilai et al. (U.S. 2002/0029201)

Regarding to claim 6:

Skinner – Knapp-Thomas discloses the invention substantially as disclosed in claim 5, but does not explicitly teach wherein the stated policy of the obtaining step includes the candidate retail partner agreeing to abide by a stated privacy policy, to abide by a suitable return policy for the protection of customers, and to provide searchable product information that can be screened or sorted by the host during a user product search according to predetermined user preferences relative to at least one of price and manufacturer identity

However, Barzilai discloses the privacy policy agreements between online sellers and online buyers. Also Barzilai discloses the buyers can search and compare prices between vendors, see (Barzilai: page 1, paragraph [0005], lines 1-20)

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Barzilai's ideas of negotiation and maintain privacy policy agreement between online seller and online customer with Skinner – Knapp-Thomas's system in order to build up the trust to online customer, see (Barzilai: page 6, paragraph [0077], lines 1-14)

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan-Dai Thi Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-7959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob A. Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571-272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2152

Page 12

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

11/20/2006

BUNJOB JARDENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER